

30. Section 577.8 is revised to read as follows:

**§ 577.8 Disclaimers.**

(a) A notification sent pursuant to §§ 577.5, 577.6, 577.9 or 577.10 regarding a defect which relates to motor vehicle safety shall not, except as specifically provided in this part, contain any statement or implication that there is no defect, that the defect does not relate to motor vehicle safety, or that the defect is not present in the owner's or lessee's vehicle or item of replacement equipment. This section also applies to any notification sent to a lessor or directly to a lessee by a manufacturer.

(b) A notification sent pursuant to §§ 577.5, 577.6, 577.9 or 577.10 regarding a noncompliance with an applicable motor vehicle safety standard shall not, except as specifically provided in this part, contain any statement or implication that there is not a noncompliance, or that the noncompliance is not present in the owner's or lessee's vehicle or item of replacement equipment. This section also applies to any notification sent to a lessor or directly to a lessee by a manufacturer.

31. A new § 577.10 is added to read as follows:

**§ 577.10 Follow-up notification.**

(a) If, based on quarterly reports submitted pursuant to § 573.6 of this part or other available information, the Administrator decides that a notification of a safety-related defect of a noncompliance with a Federal motor vehicle safety standard sent by a manufacturer has not resulted in an adequate number of vehicles or items of equipment being returned for remedy, the Administrator may direct the manufacturer to send a follow-up notification in accordance with this section. The scope, timing, form, and content of such follow-up notification will be established by the Administrator, in consultation with the manufacturer, to maximize the number of owners, purchasers, and lessees who will present their vehicles or items of equipment for remedy.

(b) The Administrator may consider the following factors in deciding whether or not to require a manufacturer to undertake a follow-up notification campaign:

- (1) The percentage of covered vehicles or items of equipment that have been presented for the remedy;
- (2) The amount of time that has elapsed since the prior notification(s);
- (3) The likelihood that a follow-up notification will increase the number of

vehicles or items of equipment receiving the remedy;

(4) The seriousness of the safety risk from the defect or noncompliance;

(5) Whether the prior notification(s) undertaken by the manufacturer complied with the requirements of the statute and regulations; and

(6) Such other factors as are consistent with the purpose of the statute.

(c) A manufacturer shall be required to provide a follow-up notification under this section only with respect to vehicles or items of equipment that have not been returned for remedy pursuant to the prior notification(s).

(d) Except where the Administrator determines otherwise, the follow-up notification shall be sent to the same categories of recipients that received the prior notification(s).

(e) A follow-up notification must include:

(1) A statement that identifies it as a follow-up to an earlier communication;

(2) A statement urging the recipient to present the vehicle or item of equipment for remedy; and

(3) Except as determined by the Administrator, the information required to be included in the initial notification.

(f) The manufacturer shall mark the outside of each envelope in which it sends a follow-up notification in a manner which meets the requirements of § 577.5(a) of this part.

(g) Notwithstanding any other provision of this Part, the Administrator may authorize the use of other media besides first-class mail for a follow-up notification.

Issued on: March 24, 1995.

**Ricardo Martinez,**

*Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 95-8130 Filed 4-4-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-59-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

#### 50 CFR Part 650

[Docket No. 950118017-5081-02; I.D. 122994A]

RIN 0648-AH82

#### Atlantic Sea Scallop Fishery; Framework Adjustment 4; Temporary Reduction in Crew Size Limit

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** NMFS issues this final rule to implement Framework Adjustment 4 to the Atlantic Sea Scallop Fishery Management Plan (FMP). This framework adjustment temporarily adjusts the maximum crew limit on certain vessels participating in the scallop fishery from nine to seven through February 29, 1996.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 1, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of Amendment 4, its regulatory impact review, the initial regulatory flexibility analysis, the final supplemental environmental impact statement, and the supporting documents for Framework Adjustment 4 are available from Douglas Marshall, Executive Director, New England Fishery Management Council, Suntaug Office Park, 5 Broadway, Saugus, MA 01906-1097.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Paul H. Jones, NMFS, Fishery Policy Analyst, 508-281-9273.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

The final rule implementing Amendment 4 to the FMP was published on January 19, 1994 (59 FR 2757), with an effective date for most measures of March 1, 1994. The amendment retained the FMP's objectives to: (1) Restore adult stock abundance and age distribution; (2) increase yield per recruit for each stock; (3) evaluate plan research, development and enforcement costs; and (4) minimize adverse environmental impacts on sea scallops.

Amendment 4 changed the primary management strategy from a meat count (size) control to effort control. The amendment controls total fishing effort through limited access permits and a schedule of reductions in allowable days-at-sea. Supplemental measures include limits on increases in vessel fishing power to control the amount of fishing pressure and to help control the size of scallops landed, gear restrictions, and limits on the number of crew members. The amendment also includes a framework procedure for adjusting the management measures in the FMP. Initially, the maximum crew size was set at nine.

In response to very high levels of recruitment documented in the Mid-Atlantic resource area (Regional Director's Status Report, January 1994), the New England Fishery Management Council (Council) recommended lowering the maximum crew size limit from nine to seven until December 31, 1994. NMFS concurred and through Framework Adjustment 1, which was published on July 19, 1994 (59 FR

36720), with an effective date of August 17, 1994, lowered the maximum crew size from nine to seven until December 31, 1994.

Because the conditions that justified lowering the maximum crew size limit to seven still exist, the Council recommended extending the maximum crew size limit of seven through the end of the 1995–96 scallop fishing year.

The adjustments being made through the framework process (§ 650.40) are within the scope of analyses contained in Amendment 4 and the final supplemental environmental impact statement. Supplemental rationale and analyses of expected biological effects, economic impacts, impacts on employment, and safety concerns are contained within the supporting documents for Framework Adjustments 1 and 4 (see **ADDRESSES**).

NMFS is adjusting the scallop regulations following the procedure for framework adjustments established by Amendment 4 and codified in 50 CFR part 650, subpart C. The Council followed this procedure, by developing and analyzing the actions over the span of at least two Council meetings, on October 26 and December 8, 1994. However, because the December 8, 1994, meeting was not announced as the second and final of the two required meetings, the Council recommended that the Director, Northeast Region, NMFS (Regional Director) publish the measures contained in Framework Adjustment 4 as a proposed rule to ensure that the public has been afforded sufficient opportunity for notice and comment.

In accordance with the regulations, public comments on the framework adjustment were taken by the Council during its October 26, 1994, and December 8, 1994, meetings. The comments made at those meetings, as well as written comments received, were discussed in detail in the proposed rule (60 FR 8622, February 15, 1995) and are not repeated here.

No additional comments were received during the comment period that followed publication of the proposed rule, and the proposed rule is adopted as final without change.

#### Classification

This final rule has been determined to be not significant for purposes of E.O. 12866.

When this rule was proposed, the Assistant General Counsel of the Department of Commerce certified to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration that, if adopted as proposed, it would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because: (1) It would be unlikely to force vessels to cease or substantially modify operations, (2) many vessels already carried crew sizes of seven or less because of low stock abundance of sea scallops, and (3) short-term benefits of harvesting immature sea scallops in 1995 that have never produced young for future years would be greatly outweighed by longer-term benefits to small entities for the next several years. As a result, a regulatory flexibility analysis was not prepared.

#### List of Subjects in 50 CFR Part 650

Fisheries, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: March 29, 1995.

**Samuel W. McKeen,**

*Acting Assistant Administrator for Fisheries,  
National Marine Fisheries Service.*

For the reasons set out in the preamble, 50 CFR part 650 is amended as follows:

#### PART 650—ATLANTIC SEA SCALLOP FISHERY

1. The authority citation for part 650 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

2. Section 650.21, paragraph (c) is revised to read as follows:

##### § 650.21 Gear and crew restrictions.

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) *Crew restrictions.* Limited access vessels participating in or subject to the scallop DAS allocation program may have no more than seven people aboard, including the operator, when not docked or moored in port through February 29, 1996, and nine people aboard when not docked or moored in port thereafter, including the operator, unless participating in the small dredge program specified in paragraph (e) of this section, or otherwise authorized by the Regional Director.

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 95–8249 Filed 3–30–95; 3:55 pm]

BILLING CODE 3510–22–F